FOR STAFF USE ONLY

1.	Provide section, township, NE ¹ / ₄ Section <u>SE 1/</u> 4 Se			Range 14	_ E., W.M.			
2.	Latitude and longitude coordinates of project location (e.g. 47.03922 N lat. / -122.89142 W long.): 47.175 N / 121.0678 W [use decimal degrees – NAD 83]							
3.	Type of Ownership: (chec	ck all that apply))					
	☐ Private	☐ Federal	☐ State	☑ Loc	cal	☐ Tribal		
4.	Land Use Information:							
Zo	ning: Rural 5		Comp Plan Land Us	se Designation:	Rural Resider	ıtial_		
5.	Shoreline Designation: (c	heck all that app	oly)					
	☐ Urban Conservancy	Shore	eline Residential	☐ Rui	cal Conservancy			
	☐ Natu	ıral	☐ Aqu	atic				
6.	Requested Shoreline Exen 2,B Normal Repair/Mainte		2 173.27.040:					
			Vegetation					
7.	Will the project result in c	_						
It (Yes		☑ No		(annuaria Santa			
	Yes', how much clearing w	,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,	•		(square feet a	na acres)		
8.	Will the project result in r	e-vegetation of t	ree or shrub canopy?					
If '	Yes', how much re-vegetat	ion will occur?			(sauara faat s	and acros)		
		-			_			
				an da a da maring na mangang ng 1990.	a Saluddaggerdd Haladsadderad			
9.	Will the project result in v	vetiand impacts	γ Ω γNo					
If '	Yes', how much wetland w	ill be permanent			(square feet	and acres)		
	. Will the project result in v							
100	Yes	Toliana Tolion all	WNo					
If '	Yes', how much wetland w	ill be restored?		(square fe	et and acres)			

Page 4 of 6

Impervious Surfaces

11. Will the proje	ect result in creation	of over 500 square feet of impervious	us surfaces?		
	☐ Yes	☑ No			
If 'Yes', how muc	h impervious surfac	ee will be created?	(square feet and acres)		
12. Will the proje	12. Will the project result in removal of impervious surfaces?				
	☐ Yes	□ No			
If 'Yes', how muc	h impervious surfac	e will be removed?	(square feet and acres)		
greenensen franci		Shoreline Stabilization			
	ect result in creation alkhead/riprap)?	of structural shoreline stabilization	structures		
	☐ Yes	√No			
If 'Yes', what is the	he net linear feet of s	stabilization structures that will be c	ereated?		
	ect result in removal alkhead/riprap)?	of structural shoreline stabilization	structures		
	☐ Yes	□ No			
If 'Yes', what is the	he net linear feet of s	stabilization structures that will be r	removed?		
		<u>Levees/Dikes</u>			
15. Will the proje	ect result in creation,	, removal, or relocation (setting back	k) of levees/dikes?		
	☐ Yes	√ No			
If 'Yes', what is th	he net linear feet of l	evees/dikes that will be created?			
If 'Yes', what is th	he net linear feet of l	evees/dikes that will be permanently	removed?		
If 'Yes', what is the OHWM?	he linear feet of levee	es/dikes that will be reconstructed a	t a location further from the		
		Floodplain Development			
16. Will the proje	ct result in developn	nent within the floodplain? (check o	one)		
	Yes	□ No			
		structures to be constructed in the fuired per KCC 14.08; please contact			
and cabin) will b	e raised 42" but oth		ing a cabin and deck. The structures (deck ight adjustment will be done via taller odplain? (check one)		
	☐ Yes	□ No			
If 'Yes', what is th	ne net square footage	e of structures to be removed from t	he floodplain?		

		er								
v	. v	~	 	··	\sim	L	u	•		

18. Will the proje	ct result in construct	tion of an overwater dock, pier, or float? (check one)	
	☐ Yes	₽ No	
If 'Yes', how man	y overwater structur	res will be constructed?	
What is the net sq	uare footage of wate	er-shading surfaces that will be created?	
19. Will the proje	ct result in removal	of an overwater dock, pier, or float? (check one)	
	☐ Yes	₩No	
If 'Yes', how man	y overwater structui	res will be removed?	
What is the net sq	uare footage of wate	er-shading surfaces that will be removed?	
		Summary/Conclusion	
		nt with the policies of RCW 90.58.020 and the Kittitas Counnal sheets if necessary)	ity Shoreline
Please explain:	✓ Yes	□ No	
deck and cabin a overall increase to SMP code 7.3(2)	area. The project als o the current height (b) dditional informatio	es removal and replacement of old foundation footings that so states that the new structural footings will be taller result of both the cabin and deck. The project appears compliant of both the cabin and deck. The project appears compliant of both the cabin and deck of both the cabin and deck. The project appears compliant of both the cabin and deck of both the cabin and deck. The project appears compliant of both the cabin and deck of both the cabin and deck. The project appears compliant of both the cabin and deck of both the cabin and deck. The project appears compliant of both the cabin and deck of both	ulting in a 42 ant with
			

Page 6 of 6